Percutaneous removal of a foreign body from the left brachiocephalic vein
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Abstract: Spontaneous or iatrogenic fracture and embolization of implanted central venous catheters is a known complication. The widespread use of those catheters in patient on haemodialysis has augmented the rate of embolized catheters fragments. Percutaneous retrieval of intravascular foreign body has become a common practice and is commonly performed through central venous access, mostly using femoral, subclavian, or internal jugular veins. We report a case of a patient on haemodialysis with a fragment of a guidewire in the left brachiocephalic vein after the insertion of a central venous catheter. The embolized guidewire has been successfully extracted thorough the femoral vein using a snare.
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INTRODUCTION

With the widespread use of plastic tubing for continuous intravenous fluids, maintaining central venous pressures, haemodialysis, and arterial catheterisation there is an increasing incidence of “lost” tubing within the vasculature1. Centrally embolized foreign bodies left in place are associated with a high incidence of complications. The rate of complications is the highest with foreign bodies embolized in the right side of the heart (right atrium or ventricle). Lower rates of complications are encountered in patients with foreign bodies in the inferior or superior vena cava and pulmonary artery. Common complications are: cardiac wall perforation, septic endocarditis, arrhythmia with cardiac failure, thrombosis of the vena cava with subsequent pulmonary embolisation, cardiac wall necrosis or sepsis2-4.

A wide range of retrievers has been developed to meet the needs for different case scenarios. Those retrievers are loop snare catheters, hook tip guidewires or catheters, basket retrievers, and grasping forceps or catheters5,6.

CASE REPORT

We report a case of a 41 years old male patient transferred to our clinic for a foreign body in the left brachiocephalic vein. The patient past history includes stage V chronic kidney disease on haemodialysis, cirrhosis due to hepatitis C virus infection complicated with splenomegaly and pancytopenia. Three days ago, a central venous catheter was inserted through the subclavian vein for haemodialysis. During the insertion of the catheter the guidewire passed from the right brachiocephalic vein into the left brachiocephalic vein. When the dilator was introduced, it has fractured the guidewire which remained trapped into the left brachiocephalic vein. When admission, the patient was asymptomatic, clinical examination revealed mild hepatomegaly and splenomegaly and the central venous catheter was fully functional. Blood tests showed increased creatinine (8 mg/dl), moderate anaemia (haemoglobin = 8,2 mg/dl), leukopenia (white blood cells = 2.29*103/μL) and moderate to severe thrombocytopenia (platelets = 51*103/μL).
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The electrocardiogram was normal. Transthoracic echocardiography showed normal left and right ventricle systolic function, type I left ventricle diastolic dysfunction, mild mitral and tricuspid regurgitation, no intracardiac masses and foreign object, no sign of pulmonary hypertension. The chest X ray showed the central venous catheter that passes from the right subclavian vein to the superior vena cava with a short radio-opaque, linear foreign body in the distal venous lumen (represent a part of the central core of the fractured guidewire) and a linear, radio-opaque foreign body in the left brachiocephalic vein (represent the surface coil dislodged from the central core of the fractures guidewire) (Figure 1). To better understand the exact situation, it is important to know the structure of a guidewire.

All guidewires has a central part named central core which is a straight bare metal that is tapered by a surface coil. In this case, the central core of the fractured guidewire remained in the central venous catheter and the surface coil dislodged from the central core of the guidewire remained in the left brachiocephalic vein.

For the retrieval of the foreign object a 6 french right femoral vein sheath was introduced and we used an Amplatz GooseNeck® Snare Kit (eV3) (Figure 2). The snare was advanced from the inferior vena cava through right atrium and superior vena cava to the left brachiocephalic vein. The distal part of the foreign body was caught with the snare in the left brachiocephalic vein (Figure 3). We tried to pull the foreign body but it remained fixed in the left brachiocephalic vein by its distal part and it elongated by uncoiling (Figure 4). After the coil was withdrawn in the inferior vena cava, the patient became symptomatic and a high resistance to withdrawal was felt, so we reconsidered the approach.

The foreign body was entrapped into the snare sheath and a left brachiocephalic venography was performed showing the extravascular course of the proximal part of the foreign body (Figure 5). After several attempts the foreign body was dislocated from the left brachiocephalic vein, trapped into the snare sheath and removed (Figure 6). After the procedure the patient was asymptomatic and he was discharged the next day.
CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS FOR CLINICAL PRACTICE

The most frequent embolized foreign bodies are guide-wires and parts from a venous catheter. Those foreign bodies can be removed using dedicated retrievers or a homemade snare in case the formers are not available. To build a homemade snare requires equipment that is available in most cath labs. It consists in a slightly curved diagnostic catheter, like Judkins Right, and a 0.014” or 0.018” guidewire that it’s looped and introduced into the diagnostic catheter. The Goose-Neck Snare that we have used in this case is very useful, safe, and versatile for the extraction of different types of foreign bodies in different territories6.

There is any consensus about the technique to be used in the percutaneous extraction of foreign bodies. The used technique differs from a clinical scenarios to the another, and the approach can be changed during the procedure how we have shown with this case.
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